

# Fixed Points for “O-W-C”- Self- Mappings on C-M-Spaces

## Abstract

In the present research article, we obtained a unique common fixed points for “O-W-C”(Occasionally Weakly Compatible) self-mappings with satisfying a generalized contractive type condition on “C- M-Space”(Cone –Metric-Space). Our main aim to extends, improves and generalizes recent comparable existing theorems in the references.

**Key Words:** C-M-Space(Cone Metric Space), fixed point, common fixed point, fixed point theorem, and O-W-C(Occasionally Weakly Compatible).

**AMS -2010 Subject Classification:** “54H25” and “47H10”.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the Non- linear analysis, the study of fixed point results are very most important tool. And the concept of a cone metric space was introduced by the authors “Huang” and “Zhang” [6]. And they have been generalized a metric space concept , that is , cone metric space , for this they have been replaced the “real numbers” by an ordered “Banach” space and also got some of the common fixed point resultson “C-M-Space”(Cone –Metric-Space). Later on, many authorshas been generalized, improved and extended “Huang” and “Zhang” [6] results in so many forms(for e.x.,[1-5],[7-12]). And recent times “Bhatt” and “Chandra”[5]have got some fixed point results in “O-W-C”(Occasionally Weakly Compatible) self-mappings on C-M-Spaces(Cone –Metric-Spaces). Our main aim is in this research article we proved fixed point result for “O-W-C”(Occasionally Weakly Compatible) self-mappings on “C- M-Spaces”.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In ordered to get fixed point results, we need some of the basic Lemmas & Definitions which are in [6, 7].

**2.1. Definition.** Suppose that  $S_1$  is a real “Banach” space. And a subset  $T_1$  of  $S_1$  is said to be a cone iff

(A)  $T_1$  is not empty and is closed and  $T_1 \neq \{0\}$ ;

(B)  $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  ,  $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \geq 0$  ,  $u_1, v_1 \in T_1$  implies  $\alpha_1 u_1 + \beta_1 v_1 \in T_1$  ;

(C)  $T_1 \cap (-T_1) = \{0\}$ .

For a “cone”  $T_1 \subset S_1$ , defining a partial order “ $\leq$ ” with respect to  $T_1$  by

“ $\alpha_1 \leq \beta_1 \Leftrightarrow \beta_1 - \alpha_1 \in T_1$ “. A cone  $T_1$  is said to be “normal” if there is a number  $M_1 > 0$  such that for all  $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in T_1$ ,

$$“0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \beta_1 \Rightarrow \|\alpha_1\| \leq M_1 \|\beta_1\|”.$$

The smallest +vee number satisfying the above inequality is called the “normal constant” of  $T_1$ , while “ $\alpha_1 \ll \beta_1$ ” stands for “ $\beta_1 - \alpha_1$ ” interior of  $T_1$ .

**2.2. Definition.** Suppose that  $X_1$  is a non-empty set of  $S_1$ . And suppose that the map

$\rho: X_1 \times X_1 \rightarrow S_1$  satisfying the following:

- (A).  $0 \leq \rho(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$  for all  $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in X_1$  and  $\rho(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = 0$  if and only if  $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$ ;
- (B).  $\rho(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = \rho(\beta_1, \alpha_1)$  for all  $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in X_1$ ;
- (C).  $\rho(\alpha_1, \beta_1) \leq \rho(\alpha_1, \gamma_1) + \rho(\gamma_1, \beta_1)$  for all  $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1 \in X_1$ .

Then  $\rho$  is called a “C-Metric” (Cone -Metric) on  $X_1$  and  $(X_1, \rho)$  is said to be a “C-M-Space” (Cone -Metric-Space).

**2.3. Definition.** Let  $(X_1, \rho)$  be a C-M-Space. We said that  $\{x_n\}$  is a

- (A). Cauchy sequence if for every  $b_1 \in S_1$  with “ $b_1 \gg 0$ ”, then,  $\exists$  a natural number  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$   $\rho(x_n, x_m) \ll b_1$ , for all  $n, m > n_1$ .
- (B). convergent sequence if for every “ $b_1 \in S_1$ ” with “ $b_1 \gg 0$ ”, then  $\exists$  a natural number  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$   $\rho(x_n, x_1) \ll b_1$ , for all  $n > N_1$  for some fixed  $x_1$  in  $X_1$ . And denote this “ $x_n \rightarrow x_1$ ”, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**2.4. Definition.** A C-M- Space  $(X_1, \rho)$  is complete if every “Cauchy” sequence is a convergent in  $X_1$ .

**2.5. Definition.** Suppose that two self- mappings  $P_1$  and  $Q_1$  are in a set  $X_1$ . And if “ $w_1 = P_1 x_1 = Q_1 x_1$ ” for some  $x_1 \in X_1$ , then  $x_1$  is called a “coincidence point” of  $P_1$  and  $Q_1$ , and then  $w_1$  is said to be a “point of coincidence” of  $P_1$  and  $Q_1$ .

**2.1. Proposition.** Suppose that two self-mappings  $P_1$  and  $Q_1$  are “O-W-C” (Occasionally -Weakly- Compatible) in a set  $X_1$  iff there is a point “ $x_1$  in  $X_1$ ” which is a “coincidence point” of  $P_1$  and  $Q_1$  at which  $P_1$  and  $Q_1$  are “commute”.

**2.1. Lemma.** Suppose that two self-mappings  $P_1, Q_1$  are (in  $X$ ) “O-W-C” (Occasionally Weakly Compatible) of  $X_1$ . If  $P_1$  and  $Q_1$  have a unique point of “coincidence” “ $w_1 = P_1 x_1 = Q_1 x_1$ ”, then “ $w_1$ ” is a unique common “fixed point” of  $P_1$  and  $Q_1$ .

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

In this main part, we prove a “unique common fixed point” result for “O-W-C” (Occasionally Weakly Compatible) self - mappings in “C-Metric-Space” (Cone Metric Space). [13]

Our “main theorem” is follows:

**1.3.Theorem:** Suppose that  $p_1$  and  $q_1$  are two self-mappings of  $X_1$  in a “C-M- Space”  $(X_1, \rho)$  and  $S_1$  is a “normal cone”. And satisfying the following:

$$(i) \quad \rho(p_1x_1, q_1y_1) \leq \lambda_1 \text{Max}\{\rho(q_1x_1, q_1y_1), \rho(p_1x_1, q_1x_1) + \rho(p_1y_1, q_1y_1) / 2\} + \\ \lambda_2 \text{Max}\{ \rho(q_1x_1, q_1y_1), \rho(q_1x_1, p_1x_1) + \rho(q_1y_1, p_1y_1) / 2 \},$$

for all  $x_1, y_1 \in X_1$ , where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$  and  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 < 1$ .

$$(ii) \quad p_1(X_1) \subset q_1(X_1)$$

$$(iii) \quad p_1 \text{ and } q_1 \text{ are O-W-C.}$$

Then  $p_1$  and  $q_1$  are having “unique common fixed point” in  $X_1$ .

**Proof:** By (iii)  $p_1$  and  $q_1$  are “O-W-C”. Then there exists a point  $\alpha_1 \in X_1$  such that  $p_1\alpha_1 = q_1\alpha_1 = w_1$  and there exists another point  $\beta_1 \in X_1$  for which  $p_1\beta_1 = q_1\beta_1 = u_1$ .

Now we claim that :  $p_1\alpha_1 = q_1\beta_1$ . Suppose that  $w_1 \neq u_1$ . Then from (i) we get that

$$\rho(p_1\alpha_1, q_1\beta_1) \leq \lambda_1 \text{Max}\{\rho(q_1\alpha_1, q_1\beta_1), \rho(p_1\alpha_1, q_1\alpha_1) + \rho(p_1\beta_1, q_1\beta_1) / 2\} + \\ \lambda_2 \text{Max}\{ \rho(q_1\alpha_1, q_1\beta_1), \rho(q_1\alpha_1, p_1\alpha_1) + \rho(q_1\beta_1, p_1\beta_1) / 2 \}, \\ \leq \lambda_1 \text{Max}\{\rho(p_1\alpha_1, p_1\beta_1), \rho(p_1\alpha_1, p_1\alpha_1) + \rho(p_1\beta_1, p_1\beta_1) / 2\} + \\ \lambda_2 \text{Max}\{ \rho(p_1\alpha_1, p_1\beta_1), \rho(p_1\alpha_1, p_1\alpha_1) + \rho(p_1\beta_1, p_1\beta_1) / 2 \}, \\ \leq \lambda_1 \text{Max}\{\rho(p_1\alpha_1, p_1\beta_1), 0\} + \lambda_2 \text{Max}\{ \rho(p_1\alpha_1, p_1\beta_1), 0 \} \\ \leq (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \rho(p_1\alpha_1, p_1\beta_1)$$

$< \rho(p_1\alpha_1, p_1\beta_1)$ , since  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 < 1$ . Which is a contradiction.

Therefore,  $\rho(p_1\alpha_1, p_1\beta_1) = 0$ .

Implies that,  $p_1\alpha_1 = p_1\beta_1$ . Therefore,  $p_1\alpha_1 = q_1\alpha_1 = p_1\beta_1 = q_1\beta_1 = w_1 = u_1$ .

That is,  $p_1\alpha_1 = q_1\alpha_1 = w_1$ . Hence, " $w_1$ " is a unique point of coincidence. By the (2.1) Lemma , we get that " $w_1$ " is the "unique common fixed point " of  $p_1$  and  $q_1$ . And this completes the proof of the theorem.

**Conclusion:** In this present paper, our main results are more improve and general results than the existing results in [5].

## References

- [1] M. Abbas and G. Jungck, Common fixed point results for non commuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 341(2008), 416-420.
- [2] M. Abbas, B. E. Rhoades, Fixed and periodic point results in cone metric spaces, Appl. Math. Lett. 21(2008), 511-515.
- [3] I. Altun, B. Durmaz, Some fixed point theorems on ordered cone metric spaces, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 58(2009), 319-325.
- [4] M.Aamri and D.El. Moutawakil, Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions, J.Math.Anal.Appl.270(2002),181-188.
- [5] Arvind Bhatt and Harish Chandra, Occasionally weakly compatible mappings in cone metric space , Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 6,no. 55,(2012), 2711 – 2717.
- [6] L.G. Huang, X. Zhang, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings J. Math. Anal. Appl. 332(2)(2007), 1468-1476.
- [7] G.Jungck and B.E. Rhoades , Fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings , Fixed Point Theory , 7(2006), 286-296.
- [8] G.Jungck and B.E. Rhoades , Fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings , Erratum, Fixed Point Theory , 9(2008), 383-384.
- [9]. K.Prudhvi, Study on "Fixed Point Results" for Pair of Maps in CMS,Asian Basic and Applied Research Journal ,Volume 5, Issue 1, (2023), 129-131.
- [10]K. Prudhvi, A Study on Fixed Points for Four Self-Mappings on OWC, Asian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics,Vol.5.,Issue1,(2023), 285-288.
- [11]. K. Prudhvi, A Unique Common Fixed Point Theorem for a Metric Space with the Property (E.A), American Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, Vol.11., No.1, (2023), 11-12.

- [12]. X. Zhang, Common fixed point theorems for some new generalized contractive type mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 333(2007), 780-786.
- [13] Prudhvi K. A Study on Fixed Points for Four Self-Mappings on OWC. Asian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics. 2023 Jul 22:285-8.

UNDER PEER REVIEW