# A Study on Properties of skew $(n,m)$ Binormal Operators

## Abstract

In this paper, the class of skew $(n,m)$-binormal operators acting on a Hilbert space $(H)$ is introduced. An operator $T \in B(H)$ is skew $(n,m)$ binormal operators if it satisfies the condition $(T^{*m}T^nT^nT^{*m})T = T(T^nT^{*m}T^{*m}T^n)$. We investigate some of the basic properties of this class of operators. It is further shown that if an operator $T$ is skew $(n,m)$-binormal, and is unitarily equivalent to an operator $S$, then $S$ is also skew $(n,m)$-binormal.

## 1 Introduction

This section provides definitions and basic results that are required.

Throughout this paper $B(H)$ denotes the algebra of bounded linear operators in a complex Hilbert Space $H$. The study of binormal operators was initiated by Campbell in 1972. Campbell realized while working on his second paper in 1974, that the term binormal had already been used, but with a different definition. Brown (1954) had defined a binormal operator as essentially being made up of $2 \times 2$ commuting normal matrices acting in the usual fashion in a Hilbert space of the form $\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$. Campbell stated that usage of term was not current, so he continued his use of the term binormal to describe the definition we use in this paper. Unfortunately, both definitions are still used in [4], [5]. Classes of operators related to normal operators were introduced and studies by several researchers. Jibril (2008) introduced the class of $n$-normal operators that an operator, where an operator $T \in B(H)$ is n-power normal if $T^nT^* = T^*T^n$. He gave some properties of these operators in general, and also study the special case when an operator is n-power normal for $n = 2, 3$. The class of $(n,m)$-normal operators was introduced by Abood and Al-loz (2016). An operator $T \in B(H)$ is $(n,m)$-normal if $[T^n, T^{*m}] = 0$, quasinormal if $[T, T^*T] = 0$, $n$-quasinormal if $[T, T^*T^n] = 0$, skew normal if $(T^*T)T = T(TT^*)$, binormal if $[TT^*, T^*T] = 0$, and $n$-binormal if $[T^nT^*, T^*T^n] = 0$.

**Definition 1.1** (Wabuya et. al., 2023). Let $T \in B(H)$. Then $T$ is $(n,m)$-binormal if $T^{*m}T^nT^nT^{*m} = T^nT^{*m}T^{*m}T^n$ for positive integers $m$ and $n$.

**Definition 1.2.** Let $T \in B(H)$. Then $T$ is skew $(n,m)$-binormal if $(T^{*m}T^nT^nT^{*m})T = T(T^nT^{*m}T^{*m}T^n)$ for positive integers $m$ and $n$.

**Definition 1.3.** Let $T \in B(H)$. Then $T$ is $k$-skew $(n,m)$-binormal if $(T^{*m}T^nT^nT^{*m})T^k = T^k(T^nT^{*m}T^{*m}T^n)$ for positive integers $k, m$ and $n$.

*Remark* 1.1. For an operator $T$ that is skew $(n, m)$ binormal. We see that, If $k = m = n = 1$, then skew $(n, m)$-binormal becomes skew binormal.

In Meenambiku, Seshaiah, and Sivamani (2019), it is indicated that the class of binormal operators is contained in the class of skew $n$-binormal operators, that is every binormal operator is skew binormal. In this paper, we present a counter example to indicate that in general the class of binormal operators is not contained in the class of skew binormal and consequently it does not necessarily belong to the class of $k$-skew binormal operators.

**Example 1.1.** *Consider the matrix*

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad then \qquad T^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

*We now check if the matrix T is binormal*

$$TT^*T^*T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

*and*

$$T^*TTT^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

*We have*

$$TT^*T^*T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = T^*TTT^*$$

*Hence T is a binormal operator.*

*Let us now check if T is skew binormal.*

$$(T^*TTT^*)T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

*and*

$$T(TT^*T^*T) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

*We have*

$$(T^*TTT^*)T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = T(TT^*T^*T)$$

*Therefore T is not skew binormal.*

*We further show that every skew binormal operator is not k skew binormal. Simple computation gives*

$$T^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

*Considering the case when $k = 3$, we check if T is 3-skew binormal.*

$$(T^*TTT^*)T^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = T^3(TT^*T^*T)$$

*Hence T is 3-skew binormal.*
*We have therefore shown that the inclusion*

$$binormal \subset skew\ binormal \subset k-skew\ binormal$$

*does not always hold.*

# 2   Main Results

In this section, we present results on the equivalences of skew$(n,m)$-binormal operators.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The relation $(n,m)$-unitary quasiequivalence is an equivalence relation.*

*Proof.* We show that the three conditions that define an equivalence relations are satisfied by the class of $(n,m)$-unitary quasiequivalent operators in Hilbert space.
Let $A$,$B$, and $C$ be operators that are bounded in a Hilbert space $(H)$ and $U$ be a unitary operator. Clearly, $A$ is $(n,m)$-unitary quasiequivalent to $A$ since $A^{m*}A^n = IA^{m*}A^n I^*$ for $I = U$.
Let $A$ be $(n,m)$-unitary quasiequivalent to $B$, then

$$A^{m*}A^n = UB^{m*}B^n U^*$$

and

$$A^n A^{m*} = UB^n B^{m*} U^*$$

Premultiplying and postmultiplying the preceding equation by $U^*$ and $U$ respectively yields

$$B^{m*}B^n = UA^{m*}A^n U^*$$

and

$$B^n B^{m*} = UA^n A^{m*} U^*$$

Hence $B$ is $(n,m)$-unitary quasiequivalent to $A$. Lastly, suppose $A$ is $(n,m)$-unitary quasiequivalent to $B$ and $B$ is $(n,m)$-unitary quasiequivalent to $C$, then

$$A^{m*}A^n = UB^{m*}B^n U^*$$

and

$$A^n A^{m*} = UB^n B^{m*} U^*$$

and

$$B^{m*}B^n = VA^{m*}A^n V^*$$

and

$$B^n B^{m*} = VA^n A^{m*} V^*$$

for $U$ and $V$ unitary operators. Then

$$A^n A^{m*} = UB^n B^{m*} U^* = UVC^n C^{m*} V^* U^* = WC^n C^{m*} W^*$$

where $W = UV$ is unitary. Also,

$$A^{m*}A^n = UB^{m*}B^n U^* = UVC^{m*}C^n V^* U^* = WC^{m*}C^n W^*$$

Thus, $A$ is $(n,m)$-unitary quasiequivalent to $C$. Therefore, $(n,m)$-unitary quasiequivalence is an equivalence relation.
□

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let $T \in B(H)$ be skew $(n,m)$ binormal operator, then $\beta T$ is skew $(n,m)$ binormal for every real scalar $\beta$*

*Proof.* Since $T \in B(H)$ is skew $(n,m)$ binormal operator, it follows that

$$(T^{*m}T^nT^nT^{*m})T = T(T^nT^{*m}T^{*m}T^n)$$

We show that $\beta T$ is skew $(n,m)$ binormal.

$$
\begin{aligned}
((\beta T)^{*m}(\beta T)^n(\beta T)^n(\beta T)^{*m})(\beta T) &= (\beta^{*m}T^{*m}\beta^nT^n\beta^nT^n\beta^{*m}T^{*m})(\beta T) \\
&= \beta^{*m}\beta^n\beta^n\beta^{*m}\beta((T^{*m}T^nT^nT^{*m})T) \\
&= \beta^{*m}\beta^n\beta^n\beta^{*m}\beta(T(T^nT^{*m}T^{*m}T^n)) \\
&= \beta T(\beta^nT^n\beta^{*m}T^{*m}\beta^{*m}T^{*m}\beta^nT^n) \\
&= (\beta T)((\beta T)^n(\beta T)^{*m}(\beta T)^{*m}(\beta T)^n)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\beta T$ is skew $(n,m)$ binormal operator. $\qquad\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let $T \in B(H)$ be skew $(n,m)$ binormal operator, then $T^*$ is also skew $(n,m)$ binormal.*

*Proof.* Since $T \in B(H)$ is skew $(n,m)$ binormal operator, it follows that

$$(T^{*m}T^nT^nT^{*m})T = T(T^nT^{*m}T^{*m}T^n)$$

Taking the adjoint on both sides,

$$((T^{*m}T^nT^nT^{*m})T)^* = (T(T^nT^{*m}T^{*m}T^n))^*$$

$$(T)^*\left[(T^{*m})^*(T^n)^*(T^n)^*(T^{*m})^*\right] = \left[(T^n)^*(T^{m*})^*(T^{m*})^*(T^n)^*\right](T)^*$$

$$(T^*)\left[(T^*)^{*m}(T^*)^n(T^*)^n(T^*)^{*m}\right] = \left[(T^*)^n(T^*)^{*m}(T^*)^{*m}(T^*)^n\right](T^*)$$

Therefore $T^*$ is also skew $(n,m)$ binormal $\qquad\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let $T \in B(H)$ be skew $(n,m)$ binormal operator, then $T^{-1}$ is also skew $(n,m)$ binormal.*

*Proof.* Since $T \in B(H)$ is skew $(n,m)$ binormal operator, it follows that

$$(T^{*m}T^nT^nT^{*m})T = T(T^nT^{*m}T^{*m}T^n)$$

Taking the inverse on both sides,

$$((T^{*m}T^nT^nT^{*m})T)^{-1} = (T(T^nT^{*m}T^{*m}T^n))^{-1}$$

$$(T)^{-1}\left[(T^{*m})^{-1}(T^n)^{-1}(T^n)^{-1}(T^{*m})^{-1}\right] = \left[(T^n)^{-1}(T^{m*})^{-1}(T^{m*})^{-1}(T^n)^{-1}\right](T)^{-1}$$

$$(T^{-1})\left[(T^{-1})^{*m}(T^{-1})^n(T^{-1})^n(T^{-1})^{*m}\right] = \left[(T^{-1})^n(T^{-1})^{*m}(T^{-1})^{*m}(T^{-1})^n\right](T^{-1})$$

Therefore $T^{-1}$ is also skew $(n,m)$ binormal $\qquad\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *Every skew $(n,m)$ normal operator $T \in B(H)$ is skew $(n,m)$ binormal*

*Proof.* Let $T \in B(H)$ be skew $(n,m)$ normal operator, then by definition

$$(T^{*m}T^n)T = T(T^nT^{*m})$$

4

We show that $T$ is skew $(n,m)$ binormal.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(T^{*m}T^n T^n T^{*m})T &= T^{*m}T^n T^n T^{*m}T \\
&= T^{*m}T^n(T^n T^{*m}T) \\
&= T^{*m}T^n(TT^{*m}T^n) \qquad \text{by skew } (n,m) \text{ normality of } T \\
&= (T^{*m}T^n T)T^{*m}T^n \\
&= T(T^n T^{*m}T^{*m}T^n)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $T$ is skew $(n,m)$ binormal. $\qquad\square$

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let $T$ be skew $(n,m)$ normal operator, then $T \in B(H)$ is skew $(n+k(n-1),m)$ normal for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

*Proof.* We give the proof by induction.
Base case $k = 1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(T^{n+(n-1)}T^{*m})T &= T^{n-1}(T^n T^{*m})T \\
&= T^{n-1}T(T^{*m}T^n) \\
&= (T^n T^{*m})TT^{n-1} \qquad \text{by skew } (n,m) \text{ normality of } T \\
&= T(T^{*m}T^n)T^{n-1} \\
&= T(T^{*m}T^{n+(n-1)})
\end{aligned}
$$

Inductive step: Assume the result holds for $n = k$.

To prove the result for $n = k+1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(T^{n+(k+1)(n-1)}T^{*m})T &= (T^{n+k(n-1)+(n-1)}T^{*m})T \\
&= T^{n-1}(T^{n+k(n-1)}T^{*m})T \\
&= T^{n-1}T(T^{*m}T^{n+k(n-1)}) \\
&= (T^n T^{*m})TT^{n+k(n-1)-1} \qquad \text{by skew } (n,m) \text{ normality of } T \\
&= T(T^{*m}T^n)T^{n+k(n-1)-1} \\
&= T(T^{*m}T^n)T^{(k+1)(n-1)} \\
&= T(T^{*m}T^{n+(k+1)(n-1)})
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $T$ is skew $(n+k(n-1),m)$ normal. $\qquad\square$

*Remark* 2.1. The product of two skew $(n,m)$ normal operator is not skew $(n,m)$ normal operator in general.

Consider the following example

**Example 2.7.** *Let* $T = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ *and* $S = \begin{bmatrix} i & i & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ *it can easily be shown that both $T$ and $S$ are skew $(1,1)$ normal operators. We now check their product,*

$$
(TS) = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i & i & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

*checking if* $(TS)$ *is skew* $(1,1)$ *normal, we have*

$$(TS)\left[(TS)^*(TS)\right] = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \left[(TS)(TS)^*\right](TS)$$

Hence, the product of two skew $(n,m)$ normal operators is not necessarily skew $(n,m)$ normal.

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let* $T \in B(H)$ *be a normal operator and* $S$ *be skew* $(n,m)$ *normal operator. If* $T$ *and* $S$ *commute, then* $TS$ *is skew* $(n,m)$ *normal operator.*

*Proof.* Consider

$$\left[(TS)^n(TS)^{*m}\right](TS) = (T^n S^n S^{*m} T^{*m})TS$$

Since $T$ is a normal operator which commutes with $S$, then by the Fuglede-Putnam theorem, $S$ commutes with $T^*$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[(TS)^n(TS)^{*m}\right](TS) &= \left[T^n S^n S^{*m} T^{*m}\right](TS) \\
&= T^n (S^n S^{*m}) T^{*m} ST \\
&= T^n (S^n S^{*m}) ST^{*m} T \qquad \text{by the Fuglede-Putnam theorem} \\
&= T^n SS^{*m} S^n T^{*m} T \\
&= ST^n S^{*m} S^n T^{*m} T \\
&= SS^{*m} T^n S^n T^{*m} T \\
&= SS^{*m} S^n T^n T^{*m} T \\
&= SS^{*m} S^n TT^{*m} T^n \\
&= SS^{*m} TS^n T^{*m} T^n \\
&= STS^{*m} S^n T^{*m} T^n \\
&= STS^{*m} T^{*m} S^n T^n \\
&= TS\left[(TS)^{*m}(TS)^n\right]
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Definition 2.1.** An operator $T \in B(H)$ is quasi $(n,m)$ normal, if $T(T^{*m}T^n) = (T^{*m}T^n)T$ and $k$-quasi $(n,m)$ normal if $T^k(T^{*m}T^n) = (T^{*m}T^n)T^k$

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let* $T$ *be* $(n,m)$ *normal operator and quasi* $(n,m)$ *normal operator, then* $T$ *is skew* $(n,m)$ *normal*

*Proof.* Let $T$ be $(n,m)$ normal operator, therefore

$$T^n T^{*m} = T^{*m} T^n$$

Since $T$ is quasi $(n,m)$ normal, we have

$$T(T^{*m}T^n) = (T^{*m}T^n)T$$

Then

$$T(T^{*m}T^n) = (T^{*m}T^n)T = (T^n T^{*m})T$$

$\square$

The converse of the theorem is however not true. Consider the following example

**Example 2.10.** *Let* $T = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ *then* $T^* = \begin{bmatrix} -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ *Simple computation shows that*

$$TT^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = T^*T.$$

*Hence $T$ is not $(1,1)$ normal. For quasi $(1,1)$ normal, observe that*

$$T(T^*T) = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = (T^*T)T.$$

*Therefore $T$ is not quasi $(1,1)$ normal. We now check whether $T$ is skew $(n,m)$ normal, we require that $(TT^*)T = T(T^*T)$. We have*

$$(TT^*)T = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = T(T^*T)$$

*Therefore, $T$ is skew $(n,m)$ normal.*

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let $T$ be $k$-skew $(n,m)$ normal operator which is unitarily equivalent to $S$. Then $S$ is also $k$-skew $(n,m)$ normal operator*

*Proof.* Since $T$ is unitarily equivalent to $S$, then there exists a unitary operator $U$ such that $S = U^*TU$ and $S^* = (U^*TU)^* = U^*T^*U$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(S^n S^{*m})S^k &= U^*T^n UU^*T^{*m}UU^*T^kU \\
&= U^*T^n T^{*m}T^kU \\
&= U^*T^k(T^{*m}T^n)U \qquad \text{since $T$ is $k$-skew $(n,m)$ normal operator} \\
&= U^*T^kUU^*T^{*m}UU^*T^nU \\
&= S^k(S^{*m}S^n)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $S$ is $k$-skew $(n,m)$ normal operator $\qquad\qquad\square$

Let $T$ be skew $(n,m)$ normal operator which is unitarily equivalent to $S$. Then $S$ is also skew $(n,m)$ normal operator

*Proof.* The proof is trivial, hence ommited. $\qquad\qquad\square$

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let $T$ be $k$-quasi $(n,m)$ normal operator which is unitarily equivalent to $S$. Then $S$ is also $k$-quasi $(n,m)$ normal operator*

*Proof.* Recall that an operator $T$ is $k$-quasi $(n,m)$ normal, if

$$T^k(T^{*m}T^n) = (T^{*m}T^n)T^k \qquad \text{for} \quad k,m,n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
S^k(S^{*m}S^n) &= U^*T^kU(U^*T^{*m}UU^*T^nU) \\
&= U^*T^k(T^{*m}T^n)U \\
&= U^*(T^{*m}T^n)T^kU \qquad \text{since $T$ is $k$-quasi $(n,m)$ normal} \\
&= U^*T^{*m}UU^*T^nUU^*T^kU \\
&= (S^{*m}S^n)S^k
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $S$ is $k$-quasi $(n,m)$ normal □

**Theorem 2.13.** *Let $T$ be $k$-quasi $(n,m)$ binormal operator which is unitarily equivalent to $S$. Then $S$ is also $k$-quasi $(n,m)$ binormal operator*

*Proof.* An operator $T$ is $k$-quasi $(n,m)$ binormal, if

$$T^k(T^{*m}T^nT^nT^{*m}) = (T^{*m}T^nT^nT^{*m})T^k \qquad \text{for} \quad k,m,n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
S^k(S^{*m}S^nS^nS^{*m}) &= U^*T^kU(U^*T^{*m}UU^*T^nUU^*T^nUU^*T^{*m}U) \\
&= U^*T^k(T^{*m}T^nT^nT^{*m})U \\
&= U^*(T^{*m}T^nT^nT^{*m})T^kU \qquad \text{since } T \text{ is } k\text{-quasi } (n,m) \text{ binormal} \\
&= U^*T^{*m}UU^*T^nUU^*T^nUU^*T^{*m}UU^*T^kU \\
&= (S^{*m}S^nS^nS^{*m})S^k
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $S$ is $k$-quasi $(n,m)$ binormal □

**Theorem 2.14.** *Let $S$ and $T$ be $k$-skew $(n,m)$ binormal and doubly commuting operators. Then $ST$ is $k$-skew $(n,m)$ binormal operator.*

*Proof.* Since $S$ and $T$ are $k$-skew $(n,m)$ binormal, we have

$$(S^{*m}S^nS^nS^{*m})S^k = S^k(S^nS^{*m}S^{*m}S^n)$$

and

$$(T^{*m}T^nT^nT^{*m})T^k = T^k(T^nT^{*m}T^{*m}T^n)$$

In addition, the operators are doubly commuting, this implies

$$ST = TS \quad \text{and} \quad ST^* = T^*S$$

taking the adjoint on both sides yields

$$S^*T^* = T^*S^* \quad \text{and} \quad TS^* = S^*T$$

8

respectively. We now have;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left[(ST)^{*m}(ST)^n(ST)^n(ST)^{*m}\right](ST)^k &= S^{*m}T^{*m}S^nT^nS^nT^nS^{*m}T^{*m}S^kT^k \\
&= S^{*m}T^{*m}S^nT^nS^nT^nS^{*m}S^kT^{*m}T^k \\
&= S^{*m}T^{*m}S^nT^nS^nS^{*m}T^nS^kT^{*m}T^k \\
&= S^{*m}S^nT^{*m}S^nT^nS^{*m}S^kT^nT^{*m}T^k \\
&= S^{*m}S^nS^nT^{*m}S^{*m}T^nS^kT^nT^{*m}T^k \\
&= S^{*m}S^nS^nS^{*m}T^{*m}S^kT^nT^nT^{*m}T^k \\
&= \left[(S^{*m}S^nS^nS^{*m})S^k\right]\left[(T^{*m}T^nT^nT^{*m})T^k\right] \\
&= \left[S^k(S^nS^{*m}S^{*m}S^n)\right]\left[T^k(T^nT^{*m}T^{*m}T^n)\right] \\
&= S^kS^nS^{*m}S^{*m}T^kS^nT^nT^{*m}T^{*m}T^n \\
&= S^kS^nS^{*m}T^kS^{*m}T^nS^nT^{*m}T^{*m}T^n \\
&= S^kS^nT^kS^{*m}T^nS^{*m}T^{*m}S^nT^{*m}T^n \\
&= S^kT^kS^nT^nS^{*m}T^{*m}S^{*m}T^{*m}S^nT^n \\
&= (ST)^k\left[(ST)^n(ST)^{*m}(ST)^{*m}(ST)^n)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We therefore conclude that $ST$ is $k$-skew $(n,m)$ binormal $\qquad\qquad\square$

## Conclusion

The study introduced the class of skew$(n,m)$-binormal operators and the concept of unitary-$(n,m)$-quasiequivalence which is shown to be an equivalence relation. Several properties of skew$(n,m)$-binormal operators have been proved and has been particularly proved that if $B$ is $(n,m)$-binormal operator and $A$ be unitarily-$(n,m)$-quasiequivalent to $B$, then it follows that $B$ is also an $(n,m)$-binormal operator.

## References

[1] Campbell, S. Linear operators for which $T^*T$ and $TT^*$ commute *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc* **1972**, *34*, 177–180.

[2] Campbell, S. Linear operators for which $T^*T$ and $TT^*$ commute (II) *Pacific J. Math.* **1974**, *53*,355–361.

[3] Panayappan, S. and Sivamani, N. On n-binormal operators. *Gen. Math. Notes* **June, 2012**, *10*, *2*,1–8.

[4] M. Kamil Shihab, Square-Normal Operator,*British Journal of Mathematics, Computer Science* 19(3): 1-7, **2016**; Article no.BJMCS.28879.

[5] Meenambiku, K., Seshaiah, C.V., and Sivamani, N. On Square Binormal and square n-binormal Operators. *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (IJITEE)* **May, 2019**, *8*, 7,1669–1672.

[6] S. S. Abdul Hussein and H. Ali Shubber, Spectral theory of n-normal operators on Hilbert space, *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, Volume 24, Number 7, 3 October 2021, pp. 1871- 1877(7).

[7] M. Cho and B. N. Nactovska, Spectral properties of n-normal operators, *Filomat* 32:14 (2018), 5063–5069.

[8]  I.Kathurima, Putnam Fuglede Theorem for n-power normal and w-hyponormal operators, *Pioneer Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*,Volume 21, Issue 1, Pages 53-74 ( 2017).

[9]  S. S. Abdul Hussein and H. Ali Shubber ,Spectral theory of (n,m)-normal operators on hilbert space , *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*,Volume 24, (2021) - Issue 7.

[10]  E. H. Abood and M. A. Al-loz, On some generalization of normal operators on Hilbert space, *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 2015, Vol 56, No.2C,pp:1786-1794.

[11]  E. H. Abood and M. A. Al-loz, On some generalizations of (n,m)-normal powers operators on Hilbert space, *Journal of Progressive Research in Mathematics(JPRM)*, (2016) Volume 7, Issue 3. 1063-1070.

[12]  D.S.Djordjevic, Muneo Cho, Dijana Mosic, Polynomially normal operators, *Annals of Functional Analysis* 11, 493–504 (2020).

[13]  F. Kittaneh, On the structure of polynomially normal operators. *Bull Austral Math Soc.* 1984;30:11-18.