|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Journal Name: | [Asian Research Journal of Current Science](https://jofscience.com/index.php/ARJOCS) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_ARJOCS\_2032** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **GEOPHYSICAL ASSESSMENT OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS FOR FOUNDATION SUITABILITY IN ALAMO, OSUN STATE, NIGERIA** |
| Type of the Article | **Original Research Article** |

A

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 1: Comments** | | |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment**  **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **This work is of utmost importance to the scientific community because it serves as correctional measures to those erecting engineering structures in the area(s) without adequate geophysical work to prove the solidity and geotechnicality of the area.** |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **Yes, the title of the article is suitable.** | ok |
| **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.** | **The abstract is comprehensive and well detailed.** | ok |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | The article is scientifically correct because it shall save many from structural damages in the future. | ok |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | Most references are recent, but it doesn’t follow APA 7 as the author’s claimed. General rearrangement should be made before publication. There is no numbering in APA 7, but the author’s names follow alphabetical order. | corected |
| **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?** | Yes, the English is fine, but there are omissions most especially in the introduction immediately before Akintorinwa & Adeusi, 2009. The supposed statement should be completed before publication. Again, the last paragraph before table 2 should be corrected because there are repetition of words. | corrected |
| **Optional/General**comments | **It’s indeed a wonderful article. Words are jointed and should be separated in some paragraphs. There are references under conclusion that years were omitted, they should be included before publication. The conclusion is a bit lengthy and there are much repetition of results. It should be brief and concise. References should follow alphabetical order according to APA 7 adopted by the author(s) and those numbering captured should be discarded in accordance to APA 7. Again, border lines should be remove from tables before publication.** | The references have all been included in the reference list and arranged alphabetically , the conclusion has been summarized. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* |  |