|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Journal Name: | [Asian Journal of Economics, Finance and Management](https://www.journaleconomics.org/index.php/AJEFM) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_AJEFM\_1990** |
| Title of the Manuscript:  | **Assessing The Role Of Digital Evidence In The Prosecution Of Financial Fraud Cases In Nigeria** |
| Type of the Article |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PART 1: Comments** |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment****Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | Given the impact of digitalization in the current period, such a study is necessary for the scientific world and beyond. Cybercrime has reached high levels in recent years, and this study brings significant results for the interested parties. Investments in digital forensic devices can lead to much faster identification of financial fraud through cyber networks. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | I believe this title is relevant for the article. |  |
| **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.** | I believe the abstract effectively highlights the impact of this article and is thoroughly structured. |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | Yes. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | The references provided in this article are sufficient and current. |  |
| **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?** | Yes. |  |
| **Optional/General** comments | In the conclusions section, I would include the limitations of this article as well as potential directions for future research. Furthermore, I would relate the article’s findings to the hypotheses outlined in the initial part of the article. |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PART 2:**  |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?**  | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* |  |

**Reviewer details:**

**Ruben Pop, Babeș-Bolyai University, Romania**