Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4340
Title of the Manuscript:	Effective Control and Eradication of Mycoptes musculinus in Research Mice Colonies
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/ Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be	The manuscript contributed immensely to public health. Finding a suitable solution for eradication these parasite is novel idea	

required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract needs to be amended. The abstract should contain background and gap, objective, methodology, results and conclusion. Abstract has one paragraph and single line spacing	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	This should be written appropriately	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study contributed to science as it addresses the possible way of eradicating the implicated organism but the study needs to be arranged structurally and presented in a scientific approach for better understanding	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference section needs to be improved and properly written, and recent citations and references are needed	

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor corrections are needed	
Optional/General comments	The research article has novelty but needs to be structurally arranged and presented for better understanding. The necessary corrections stipulated in the manuscript should be effected so that the paper will be published 1. The authors and their affiliation and addresses should be included in the manuscript 2. Abstract should include background of study and gap, aim of study, materials and methods, results and conclusion. Also, must be one/ or no paragraph and 1.0 line spacing. Abstract should be written in reported speech and the write up must be justified 3. Always italicize et al. improve in your citations. The first paragraph in your introduction should be cited and referenced 4. The materials methods should be written in reported speech, scientific names must be italicized (line 1 in clinical trial). State the actual part of the M. musculinus used for PCR analysis, state the name or sequence of primer used for the study, state the working conditions of the PCR machine. Also state the statistical tools used in this study and the significance level of the study 5. Results should be written in a reported speech without citations and references (e.g line 4 in the result section included citation and this should not be part of result). State your observations and align them with the statistical analysis carried out with the data obtained from the study. Present your Tables, Figures and Plates with their titles 6. Present your discussion based on your findings, stating whether your findings agree or disagree with the findings of other researchers. Also state what could lead to the deviations or deductions from the study	

	7. Your conclusion should align with the objective of the study 8. Add the following: Acknowledgments, authors contributions, funding, ethical consent, conflicting interest and authors details before the references 9. Separate the author's initials using dot; the title of the textbook follows subheading rule i.e each key word will be capitalized; edition should start with capital letter Examples Arora, D. R. and Arora, B. (2010) Textbook of Microbiology, Fourth Edition. CBS Publishers and Distributors, New Delhi, Bangalore, India, Pp.397–407 For Textbook Iheukwumere, I.H., Chude, C. and Unaeze, B.C. (2018). Molecular characterization of enterotoxigenicity profiles of enteric bacteria isolated from chicken feeds. Journal of Natural Sciences Research, 8: 51–64. For Journal	
--	---	--

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ikechukwu Harmony Iheukwumere
Department, University & Country	Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Nigeria