Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Sociological Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJSR_1813**
Title of the Manuscript:	**COMPARISON OF ADOPTION OF E-LIBRARIES AND E-TEXTBOOKS AMONG ASIAN COUNTRIES**
Type of the Article	

<mark>PART 1:</mark> **Comments**

	Reviewer's comment **<mark>Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.</mark>**	Author's Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? **(If not please suggest an alternative title)**	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	1. Abstract: A correct abstract has not been composed for this research article. The abstract fails to deliver a clear overview of the study's goals, research methods, essential results, and final conclusions. A properly structured abstract presents a brief outline of research problems followed by methods and main outcomes and next describes the implications from the observations. The text should benefit from an abstract rewrite that includes the essential elements to make information easier to understand.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes The methodology must be fully developed by defining the exact research context through improvements. The paper provides limited information about the employed research methods along with an insufficient description of the collection procedures and selected design and methods rationale. The research quality would improve through a detailed description of the research design and a discussion of sampling methods and data collection procedures. The paper needs to explain why particular Asian countries were chosen, together with a detailed explanation of their data sources.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need Improvement	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need Improvement	
Optional/General comments	The article explains its data analysis by performing statistical assessments of quantitative elements and thematic evaluations on qualitative components. The particular paper did not show statistical tables regarding data analysis and also failed to provide an organized framework for thematic analysis. The authors need to present the statistical analysis outcomes by including well-defined tables or illustrations. The authors should organize their thematic findings through structured analysis that includes both theme discussions and direct references to original qualitative data.	

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Shakeel Ahmad**
Department, University & Country	**University of Peshawar, Pakistan**