

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Sociological Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSR_1802
Title of the Manuscript:	TEACHER COMMITMENT AND STUDENTS ACHIEVEMENT IN ENGLISH READING COMPREHENSION IN OYO TOWN, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript contributes significantly to the scientific community by addressing a key issue in education: the role of teacher commitment in student achievement. It provides empirical evidence from a specific context (Oyo Town, Nigeria), which can inform educational policies and teacher training programs in similar settings. The findings highlight the need for interventions targeting teacher motivation and instructional strategies, which could enhance student outcomes in critical areas like reading comprehension. Also, this study draws attention to the broader implications of teacher engagement for educational effectiveness, making it valuable for stakeholders in the education sector.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is concise but could benefit from more detail. Suggestions: 1. Highlight the practical implications of the findings, especially regarding policy or teacher development. 2. Clarify the scope and limitations of the study briefly.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound and follows a clear research design. But the study relies on a relatively small sample size of six teachers and 240 students, which may limit generalizability.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are extensive and cover relevant recent studies.	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear and appropriate for scholarly communication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Visual representations, such as graphs or charts, could help illustrate findings more effectively.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akshay Kumar Singh
Department, University & Country	Pandit Deendayal Energy University, India