Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Finance and Management
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEFM_1807
Title of the Manuscript:	BUDGET DEFICIT, BUDGET REFORM AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/ Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/ Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A study on "Budget Deficit and Reform on Economic Growth in Nigeria" is significant to the scientific community because it provides critical insights into how fiscal policy, particularly budget deficits, impacts the economic growth of a developing nation like Nigeria, allowing researchers to understand the complex relationship between government spending, debt, and economic development, thereby informing policy decisions and contributing to the broader field of development economics.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is not suitable. I suggest the title reads: "BUDGET DEFICIT AND REFORM ON ECONOMIC GROWTH IN NIGERIA"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is not comprehensible and it is disjointed. I suggest the author rewrites the abstract to clearly and concisely connect the study's objectives, methods, results, and recommendations in not more than 150 – 200 words.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. The manuscript is scientifically correct as per the methodology and empirical analysis.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are NOT sufficient and recent. Most of the in-text citations are not listed in the reference page. The citations/references are not recent. I suggest a more recent studies should be included in the manuscript. The citations and reference list should be adjusted for uniformity and style of the reference type (e.g., APA style).	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the article needs to be improved as there are a lot of typos, bad punctuation marks, wrong spellings, vague sentences, incomprehensible texts etc. all over the document. Proper editorial work should be done to suit scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	I will advise that the manuscript should compare findings with previous studies and highlight their significance. Explicitly state the study's limitations and areas for improvement and in the concluding remark, summarize key insights, typing them back to the objectives. Also, the article should refine the explanation of the research problem, emphasizing its importance in the introductory section.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 3(07-07-2024)

PARI 2		P	Α	R	Т	2
--------	--	---	---	---	---	---

TAIN L		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ejime Herbert Aniemeke
Department, University & Country	Nile University of Nigeria, Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 3(07-07-2024)