

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Finance and Management</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJEFM_1803</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Lease Financing and Its Impact on the Financial Performance of Listed Manufacturing Firms at the Nairobi Securities Exchange, Kenya.</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This study provides a link between the financial fluctuation performance in Kenyan manufacturing companies through lease financing practice.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	It can be further improved. Consider: Financial Performance Implications of Lease Financing: A Case Study of Kenyan Manufacturing Firm	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	You need to include the main findings of your study, as well as future suggestions based on the results that you obtained.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Generally, no. It seems like an assignment submission. In terms of academic writing, this paper lacks rigor and strength. The analysis is too loose. In fact, no mathematical model is given for the statistical analysis. The discussion of the results is also very shallow. No new insights are presented. As for the motivation of the paper, I could not see a clear gap on why this study is needed rather than "justifying the financial fluctuation in manufacturing sector through lease financing practice".	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	References are sufficient.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	No. This paper needs to be wholly revamped.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b>		

PART

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Siti Marsila Mhd Ruslan
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, Malaysia