

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Current Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARJOCS_1805</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>STABILITY ANALYSIS OF EXPLICIT FINITE DIFFERENCE METHODS FOR NEUTRAL STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH MULTIPLICATIVE NOISE</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Regular paper</b>

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<p><b>The manuscript is well written. The authors needs to address the following comments.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. What is the purpose of Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy (CFL) Condition and Von Neumann Stability Analysis</li> <li>2. Point out the significance of your findings after literature review.</li> <li>3. Discuss elaborately about the Figures 1-6.</li> <li>4. How do the authors handle the stochastic term. An explanation is needed?</li> <li>5. Provide some real time model in numerical example.</li> </ol>	Thanks and all the corrections have been effected
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes.	Thanks and Noted
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract appears to be huge. Summarise it appropriately.	Thanks and the abstract is reduce
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes. It is Correct.	Thanks and Noted
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	No. Add more most recent references.	Thanks and effected
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes.	Thanks and Noted
<b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	