

JournalName:	AsianJournalofSociologicalResearch
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJSR_1813
TitleoftheManuscript:	COMPARISONOFADOPTIONOFE-LIBRARIESANDE-TEXTBOOKSAMONGASIANCOUNTRIES
TypeoftheArticle	

PART1:Comments

	Reviewer’scomment ArtificialIntelligence(AI)generatedorassistedreviewcommentsarestrictlyprohibitedduringpeerreview.	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part inthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback here)</i>
Pleasewriteafewsentencesregardingtheimportance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides a critical understanding about the adoption of e-library and e-textbook in Myanmar’s highereducationsystemwiththeintentiontomakecomparativeanalysisbetweenwhatisobtainableinSingapore and Malaysia. In view of the foregoing, considering the significance of e-library and e-textbooks in fast tracking electronic information resource access to a wider information seeker, I will objectively say that this manuscript will be of immense benefit to the scientific community.	Noted
Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)	Lookingatthetitle,Ithinkinsteadof“ComparisonofAdoptionofE-LibrariesandE-TextbooksAmongAsian Countries. The title should be modified to read: “ADOPTIONOFE-LIBRARIESANDE-TEXTBOOKSAMONGASIAN COUNTRIES: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS”	Revised
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggesttheaddition(ordelation)ofsomepointsinth is section? Please write your suggestions here.	Fromaprofessionalperspective,IobservedthattheAbstractisnotcomprehensiveenough.For instance,the abstract didn’t highlight the followings: 1. Keyobjectivesofthe study2.Methodologyused forthe study3.Itdid notstatethe whetherornotthe there is a significant relationship the dependent and independent variables 4. There is no empirical statisticstoseeifthereisaneffectonthevariables5.Thereisaneedfortheauthortoclearlyshowthe comparative analysis as well as results from the findings 6. The author should re-work the abstract to capture these key areas observed for the purpose of better informing target readers and policy makers whoinfuturewishestoimplementtherecommendations.	Revision made accordingly
Isthemanuscriptscientifically,correct?Pleasewrite here.	Well, going through the manuscript, it could be observed that the manuscript is more of an opinion than a scientific paper. For instance, the author came up with a theoretical and conceptual framework but fails to show clearly data how the 310 participants including students and teachers play a critical role in the population. For instance,thereisnosamplesizethatdepictsstudentsandteacherspopulation,thereisalsonoempiricalliterature used to support the authors findings rather than provide some percentage representations the Author should put themintablesandclearlyanalysetheminlinewithstandardpracticefordataanalysis.	Effected revision
Arethereferencesufficientandrecent?Ifyouhave suggestionsofadditionalreferences,pleasemention them in the review form.	Consideringthetimethisresearchworkwascarriedout,thecitedreferencefallsshortofrecentcitationsasmost ofthereferencesareold,theauthorshoulddo welltogetmorecentralliteratureswithinthelast5 years especially from year 2020 to 2025. Boosting the references will add more value to the research work	Ok added
Isthelanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitable for scholarly communications?	ThelanguageandEnglisharesuitable	

Optional/General comments	This research topic is a contemporary one as it addresses the 21 st century yearning's for a digital library and contents that is relevant to the present information age. However, as I earlier observed in my remarks, the author should rework the Abstract, ensure that the methodology adopted as well as population of the study and sample size are well captured to reflect clearly the direction of the study. The author is enjoined to cite recent authors on the subject matter as there are a lot of current literatures on E-library and E-textbooks. It is my humble and professional views that once these bottlenecks are taken into consideration it will make the research work richer and will be of immense benefit to the targeted audience.	Noted
----------------------------------	---	-------

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	