

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Sociological Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJSR_1813</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>COMPARISON OF ADOPTION OF E-LIBRARIES AND E-TEXTBOOKS AMONG ASIAN COUNTRIES</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b> <b>Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The reviewed manuscript is a significant step that can help in adoption of E-libraries and E-books in a country lagging in this area. Comparing Myanmar with two advanced countries systems may help the policy makers in adopting good practices in their education sector. Scientific community can learn how to compare different learning systems of different nations and can further undertake research in this area albeit with different approaches.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, this is a generalized title. If three countries are covered, it should specifically mention those three countries and since only higher education system is taken for research, it should reflect in title itself. A better option could be (E-libraries and E-text books adoption in Higher Education in Myanmar, Singapore and Malaysia: A Comparative Study”	Title revised
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract seems reasonably fine but could add a few sentences on research data support that Myanmar system is lagging the other two nations	Ok
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	No, first, data tables are not shared and nor the research output. Only percentile research seems done in this research. Questionnaire is administered only to Myanmar respondents and for rest two secondary sources are said to be used which is not a balanced approach	ok
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, almost are references are old except one and that too is of 2021. Author must work on references and literature review himself. In fact, the journal for which this review is done, has already published a paper in 2024 with following citation. Why there is a need for review then. Kyaw, K. M., Mar, S. S., Kyaw, H. W. W., & Dare, R. (2024). Barriers and Opportunities in Adopting E-Libraries and E-Textbooks in Myanmar: A Comprehensive Survey of Educational Institutions. <i>Asian Journal of Sociological Research</i> , 7(1), 67–79. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.journalsociology.com/index.php/AJSR/article/view/111">https://www.journalsociology.com/index.php/AJSR/article/view/111</a>	Revised accordingly
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language and quality is fine	Thanks
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	