

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Sociological Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSR_1802
Title of the Manuscript:	TEACHER COMMITMENT AND STUDENTS ACHIEVEMENT IN ENGLISH READING COMPREHENSION IN OYO TOWN, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Of course, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. It will be very helpful for the future researchers and it will be a part of store house of knowledge for the inquisitive learners and novice researchers	OK
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable but the title may be modified if the researcher wishes	The title is Ok.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive and very well written in scholarly manner.	Ok
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct. I think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications and further research	Ok
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient but more references should be added if the researcher desires	We are ok with the references

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications Everything is good but requires discussion with previous literature and research methodology should be separately discussed for proper understanding the article	Discussion has been made with previous literature
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No need for additional suggestions No ethical issues in this manuscript No competing interest issues in this manuscript No major Plagiarism is suspected	Ok.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issue