

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Finance and Management
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEFM_1806
Title of the Manuscript:	BUDGET DEFICIT, BUDGET REFORM INDEX AND MACROECONOMIC PERFORMANCE INDICATORS IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research is important to the scientific community given the importance of fiscal policy (budgeting) to economic growth. It highlights the importance of deficit budgeting to emerging economies. Its promotes budget discipline in the face of high unemployment. The study also emphasises the relevance of budgeting for international competitiveness of Nigeria.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The presentation/interpretation of the results of the estimation should be reviewed with particular reference to the percentage points	Done
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	There should be a conceptual review to include the variables being investigated	Done
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More literature on Nigeria's budget process be reviewed for a robust policy recommendation.	Done
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, except that Nigeria uses British English instead of American used in the manuscript.	Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper should conclude with a note on result of the Lerner's Theory's application in Nigeria.	Done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	