

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Basic and Applied Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ABAARJ_1799
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Bore Pile Foundation for Landslide Management in Tenggarong, Kutai Kartanegara, East Kalimantan
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study addresses an important geotechnical engineering challenge by evaluating the bearing capacity of bore pile foundations in a landslide-prone area using the Bagemann method. Below are my detailed comments and recommendations:	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		

<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p><b>Minor Comments:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Grammatical corrections are needed throughout the manuscript.</li><li>2. Formatting of references should be checked for consistency with journal guidelines.</li><li>3. Ensure uniform units and symbols in the tables and throughout the text.</li></ol> <p><b>Recommendation:</b></p> <p>Based on the above comments, I recommend minor revision before the manuscript is accepted for publication.</p> <p>I appreciate the opportunity to review this manuscript and hope that my feedback will help the authors improve the quality of their work. Please feel free to contact me if further clarification is needed.</p>	
<p><b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b></p>	<p><b>Major Comments:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Literature Review: The authors have cited recent studies, but a more detailed comparison between the Bagemann method and alternative approaches for pile foundation analysis would improve the justification for its use in this study.</li><li>2. Methodology: While the methodology is generally well-explained, including more details on the soil sampling process and testing methods would enhance clarity and reproducibility. Additionally, a brief theoretical background on the Bagemann method should be added for completeness.</li><li>3. Results and Discussion: The results section provides relevant calculations and interpretations. However, I recommend expanding the discussion by comparing the results with previous research and highlighting any practical implications. A sensitivity analysis showing the effect of varying pile depth and diameter on stability could further strengthen the findings.</li><li>4. Figures and Tables: Figures require clearer titles and captions to improve readability. Tables, especially Table 2, should include more explanation about the efficiency factor calculation.</li><li>5. Conclusion: The conclusion effectively summarizes the findings but should also provide a stronger statement on practical applications and future research directions.</li></ol>	Noted
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>		

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p><b>General Assessment:</b></p> <p>The manuscript is well-organized, and the topic is relevant to the journal's scope. The study's objectives are clearly defined, and the results provide useful insights for landslide management in areas with unstable soil conditions. However, there are certain aspects of the paper that require improvement before it can be considered for publication.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	