

Journal Name:	Asian Basic and Applied Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ABAARJ_1795
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Urea Fertilizer on Growth and Yield of Sorghum (<i>Sorghum bicolor</i> L.) Under Reined Conditions, on North Kordofan, Sudan.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yea, it's timely and relevant, since it may be new on this variety.	Okay
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I beg to differ. It needs more modification, and as to me it's best to present as follow: Effect of Urea Fertilizer on Growth and Yield components of Sorghum (<i>Sorghum bicolor</i> L.) Under rain-fed-Conditions in North Kordofan, Sudan	Noted
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	it's okay, but the conclusion and recommendation are general, so be specific.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Not bad, but needs major modifications. Some sentences are in wrong sub-section, this is more pronounced in the Methodology section. and, you discussed according your findings, but there's no Discussion section?? I put important comments in the document, so incorporate them.	All Corrections are incorporated
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yea, since this a design experiment, they are sufficient. But I don't think they are recent, most of them are below 2015, and only one is 2021, but we're in 2025?? And s/he used unpublished documents, MSc thesis, which is not acceptable.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It's okay by me.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	Done as suggested

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	